

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,705	07/30/2003	Thomas Perelli	086554-0906	5356
22428 75	590 01/14/2005		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AND	LARDNER		DAVIS, CASSA	ANDRA HOPE
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE	T NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20007		3611	
			DATE MAILED: 01/14/2006	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	/

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	— <i>"</i>
10/629,705	PERELLI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Cassandra Davis	3611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION

 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ication.
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2004.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the mer	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5,7,8,10,11 and 13-28</u> is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6,9 and 12</u> is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-19	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 	•
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stag	e
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	

	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/
١	aper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

C)	1 Oth
6)	 l Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/629,705

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-4, 6, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 3. The original specification does not support the two support member adapted to be moved away from one another to a collapsed position. In addition, the drawings do not support the legs (support members) being moved away from one another to a collapsed position. The legs appear to be parallel with one another in the collapse position and an angle with one another in the open position.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6, 9, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, it is unclear how the legs or the support member are moved away from one another in the collapse position.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,705 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 6, 9, and 12, as best understood, are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holzmann, German Patent 1,188,988. Holzmann teaches a folding sign comprising:
 a flexible cover 15 having at least one surface for displaying information;

a supporting device 2 for supporting the flexible cover, wherein the supporting device can be moved to a collapsed position, and at least one connecting mechanism 12, 13, 14 that connects the flexible cover to the supporting device, wherein the at least one connecting mechanism is configured to permit the connection to release to allow the supporting device to move to the collapsed position.

With respect to claim 2, Holzmann teaches the supporting device is configured to move between a closed position and an open position, and further comprising a folding mechanism comprising hinge 3 and 10 and struts 9 that permits movement of the supporting device from the open position to the closed position and permits movement of the supporting device to the collapsed position upon application of a predetermined force to the sign.

With respect to claim 6, Holzmann teaches a plurality of elongated support members.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,705 Page 4

Art Unit: 3611

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, and 13-28 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed September 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. The applicant argues Holzmann does not teach the "a supporting device for supporting the flexible cover, wherein the supporting device includes at least two support members that can be moved away from one another to a collapsed position."
- 6. The limitation does not have support in the original specification and appears to introduce new matter into the specification. The applicant does not direct the examiner to the specific passage in the specification that provides support for the limitation.
- 7. Therefore, as best understood, the claims 1-4, 6, 9, and 12 remain rejected to as being anticipated by Holzmann.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3611

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD January 10, 2005